

Synthetic Approach to Hypoxyxylerone, Novel Inhibitor of Topoisomerase I


Arnaud Piettre, Emmanuel Chevenier, Christine Massardier, Yves Gimbert,* and Andrew E. Greene*

Université Joseph Fourier de Grenoble, Chimie Recherche (LEDSS),
38041 Grenoble Cedex, France

yves.gimbert@ujf-grenoble.fr

Received July 2, 2002

ABSTRACT



A potential route to the topoisomerase I inhibitor hypoxyxylerone is demonstrated by a highly convergent synthesis of the penta(*O*-methyl) derivative. The key step in the approach is an anionic homo-Fries rearrangement, little used to date in natural product synthesis and employed here for the first time with a dinaphthalenic substrate, to access the pentacyclic system of hypoxyxylerone.

DNA topoisomerases play a fundamental role in the replication, transcription, and recombination of DNA.¹ These ubiquitous enzymes, which create single- or double-strand breaks (topoisomerases I and II, respectively), are the cellular targets of important antibiotic and anticancer drugs.²

Over the past 10 years, the number of topoisomerase I inhibitors has grown considerably and now includes some 60 structurally diverse compounds obtained from a variety of sources.³ Very few of these substances, however, demonstrate in vivo antitumor activity; except for camptothecin and related compounds,⁴ only certain indolocarbazoles have to date provided encouraging results.⁵ In this paper, a

potential route to the novel in vitro topoisomerase I inhibitor hypoxyxylerone (**1**) is disclosed that should allow access not only to the natural product but also to derivatives with greater bioavailability (Figure 1).⁶




Figure 1. Hypoxyxylerone.

Hypoxyxylerone was isolated by Edwards and co-workers from the fungus *Hypoxyylon fragiforme* in 1991 and was shown to possess the dibenzo[*b,h*]xanthene ring system, previously unknown among natural products.⁷ This substance, which is responsible for the green coloration in strains of

* Email for A.E.G.: andrew.greene@ujf-grenoble.fr.

(1) Froelich-Ammon, S. J.; Osheroff, N. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 21429–21432. Wang, J. C. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **1996**, *65*, 635–691.

(2) Wang, H.-K.; Morris-Natschke, S. L.; Lee, K.-H. *Med. Res. Rev.* **1997**, *17*, 367–425. Recently, another type has been identified: Hania, R.; Caron, P. R.; Wang, J. C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1996**, *93*, 3653–3657. Goulaic, H.; Roulon, T.; Flamand, O.; Grondard, L.; Lavelle, F.; Riou, J.-F. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1999**, *27*, 2443–2450.

(3) Bailly, C. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *7*, 39–58. Long, B. H.; Balasubramanian, B. N. *Exp. Opin. Ther. Patents* **2000**, *10*, 635–666.


(4) Garcia-Carbonero, R.; Supko, J. G. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2002**, *8*, 641–661. Kehrer, D. F.; Soepenber, O.; Loos, W. J.; Verweij, J.; Sparreboom, A. *Anticancer Drugs* **2001**, *12*, 89–128.

(5) Gescher, A. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1998**, *31*, 721–728. Gescher, A. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* **2000**, *34*, 127–135.

(6) See: Gimbert, Y.; Chevenier, E.; Greene, A. E.; Massardier, C.; Piettre, A. EP 01 402 551 4, 2001.

Hypoxyxylon, was later found to be an in vitro inhibitor of topoisomerase I.⁶ It lacks in vivo solubility, however, and thus the preparation of more soluble derivatives, in addition to the novel pentacyclic compound itself, seemed a worthwhile pursuit. Scheme 1 summarizes retrosynthetically our envisioned approach to hypoxyxylon.

Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of Hypoxyxylon



The key reaction in our planned approach was an anionic homo-Fries rearrangement to convert ester **4** into the dinaphthyl ketone **3**, which might then be transformed into xanthone **2** by debenzoylation and cyclization. It was hoped that this xanthone could then be reduced to access hypoxyxylon and derivatives. The anionic homo-Fries rearrangement,⁸ like the anionic Fries rearrangement,⁹ had been used in synthesis, but had never been applied to

naphthyl-naphthyl partners, nor even phenyl-naphthyl ones. It appeared, though, to be ideally suited for use in this approach since a hydroxymethyl group was present in the final product.

A salient advantage of the homo-Fries rearrangement (and the Fries) is that it offers convergency. For the preparation of the homo-Fries substrate **4**, the similarly complex and similarly substituted naphthalene units **5** and **6** were necessary (Figure 2). While structures closely related to each had





Figure 2. Naphthalene precursors.

previously been prepared,¹⁰ the syntheses suffer from low yields and/or poor reproducibility, and thus a number of modifications have been introduced.

For the synthesis of the naphthalene unit **5**, methyl 3,5-dimethoxyphenylacetate (**7**), easily obtained on a large scale as described by Gaudry and co-workers,¹¹ was used as the starting material (Scheme 2). Hydrolysis of **7**, followed by exposure of the resulting acid to oxalyl chloride, provided acid chloride **8**. Conversion of this substance into naphthalene **10** could be accomplished in 67% yield by successive



(7) Edwards, R. L.; Fawcett, V.; Maitland, D. J.; Nettleton, R.; Shields, L.; Whalley, A. J. *S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1991**, 1009–1010.


(8) Horne, S.; Rodrigo, R. J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1992**, 164–166. Nicolaou, K. C.; Bunage, M. E.; Koide, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 8402–8408. Lampe, P. F.; Hugues, C. K.; Biggers, C. K.; Smith, S. H.; Hu, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 4572–4581. Couture, A.; Deniau, E.; Lebrun, S.; Grandclaudon, P. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1999**, 7, 789–794.

^a (a) K₂CO₃, MeOH–H₂O, 20 °C, 16 h. (b) (COCl)₂, DMF (cat.), toluene, 0 → 20 °C, 2 h. (c) (MeO₂C)₂CHNa, THF, 60 °C, 16 h. (d) MeSO₃H, 20 °C, 4 h. (e) TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF, 20 °C, 14 h. (f) DMS, K₂CO₃, acetone, reflux, 16 h. (g) KF, HBr (cat.), DMF, 20 °C, 45 min. (h) BnBr, K₂CO₃, DMF, 20 °C, 4 h. (i) 10% KOH, EtOH, reflux, 18 h.

treatment with sodium dimethyl malonate and methanesulfonic acid. The reported procedure (magnesium dimethyl malonate, phosphoric acid–phosphorus pentoxide) proceeded in only 36% yield.¹² This naphthalene was found to be highly susceptible to oxidation, and therefore it was best used directly. Selective *tert*-butyldimethylsilylation of the more accessible hydroxyl in **10**, followed by methylation of the one remaining, delivered the fully protected naphthalene **11** in 63% yield. After silyl → benzyl protecting group exchange to afford **12**,¹³ saponification led to the desired acid **5**. The overall yield of **5** from ester **7** was 21% for the nine steps (84%/step).

The second unit, naphthalene **6**, was synthesized by substantially modifying the procedure described by Giles and collaborators¹⁴ (Scheme 3). It was found that ester **16** could

Scheme 3^a



^a (a) Benzene, 20 °C, 12 d. (b) Ac₂O, AcOK, reflux, 15 min. (c) MeOH–acetone, K₂CO₃, 35 °C, 3 h. (d) DMS, K₂CO₃, acetone, reflux, 16 h. (e) LiAlH₄, THF, 20 °C, 1 h. (f) Ac₂O, pyr., 50 °C, 1.5 h. (g) Br₂, AcOH, 20 °C, 20 min. (h) CF₃CO₂H, 1,2,4-TMB, CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 12 h. (i) 1% KOH, MeOH, 20 °C, 30 min.


be better obtained from 3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (**13**) by reaction with ylide **14**¹⁵ followed by cyclization of the

resultant acid **15** with potassium acetate in acetic anhydride, according to the procedure outlined by Rizzacasa and collaborators,¹⁶ than through the Giles approach that involved Stobbe condensation with dimethyl succinate and cyclization with sodium acetate in acetic anhydride (51% versus 30% overall yield). Compound **16** so obtained was next converted, as described by Lown and co-workers,¹⁷ with methanol and potassium carbonate in acetone into naphthol **17**, which was methylated (rather than isopropylated¹⁴) and then reduced to provide alcohol **18** in excellent yield.

The acetate of **18**, obtained conventionally, was dibrominated to provide the highly substituted naphthalene **19**. Mono-debromination of **19** was effected by exposure to trifluoroacetic acid and 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) in refluxing dichloromethane¹⁴ to give a difficult to separate mixture of the desired product¹⁸ together with TMB and 5-bromo-TMB. Fortunately, purification of the mono bromide could be easily accomplished after saponification to naphthol **6**. This esterification partner of acid **5** was thus obtained in nine steps from aldehyde **13** with an overall yield of 29% (87%/step).

The anionic homo-Fries substrate, ester **4**, could readily be formed by Mitsunobu coupling of the naphthalene units **5** and **6** (Scheme 4). The key acyl transfer proceeded

Scheme 4^a



^a (a) DEAD, PPh₃, THF, 20 °C, 4 h. (b) *n*-BuLi, THF, –55 → –45 °C, 1.5 h. (c) Ag₂O, MeI, CH₂Cl₂, 20 °C, 7 d. (d) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, EtOH–CH₂Cl₂, 16 h. (e) KOH, MeOH, reflux, 12 h.

smoothly, following optimization, to afford naphthonaphthone **20** in a reproducible 50–60% yield. In view of the steric encumbrance at the carbonyl site in **4**, the efficiency

(9) Miller, J. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 322–323. Horne, S.; Rodrigo, R. *Ibid.* **1990**, 55, 4520–4522. Horne, S.; Rodrigo, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1991**, 1046–1048.


(10) See, for example: Yamaguchi, M.; Okuma, S.; Nakamura, S.; Minami, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1990**, 183–190. Harris, T. M.; Wittek, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, 11, 3270–3277. Dodd, J. H.; Weinreb, S. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, 38, 3593–3596. Carpenter, T. A.; Evans, G. E.; Leeper, F. J.; Stauton, J.; Wilkinson, M. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1984**, 1043–1050. Cameron, D. W.; Feutrill, G. I.; Pannan, L. J. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1987**, 40, 1737–1745.

(11) Viviani, F.; Gaudry, M.; Marquet, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1990**, 1255–1261.

(12) Birch, A. J.; Donovan, F. W. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1955**, 8, 529–534.

(13) The benzyl group could not be directly introduced in **10** because of the unavoidable occurrence of C–4 benzylation.

(14) Giles, R. G. F.; Green, I. V.; Knight, L. S.; Lee Son, V. R.; Mitchell, P. R. K.; Yorke, S. C. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1994**, 853–866.

Scheme 5^a

^a (a) LiCl, DMF, 110 °C, 13 h. (b) BH₃·Me₂S, CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 48 h. (c) TMSOTf, CH₂Cl₂, 2,6-lutidine, 0 °C, 2.5 h. (d) Pd(OAc)₂, MeCN, reflux, 12 h.

of this first reported naphthonaphthone preparation through an anionic homo-Fries reaction was particularly satisfying.

Conversion of **20** into xanthone **2** was effected without purification of intermediates by methylation (Ag₂O, CH₃I)

(15) Doulut, S.; Dubuc, I.; Rodriguez, M.; Vecchini, F.; Fulcrand, H.; Barelli, H.; Checler, F.; Bourdel, E.; Aumelas, A.; Lallement, J.-C.; Kitabgi, P.; Costentin, J.; Martinez, J. *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *36*, 1369–1375.

of the free hydroxyl group, followed by hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group in the presence of Pearlman's catalyst and cyclization in methanolic KOH. Xanthone **2** could be obtained pure by simple trituration in 91% overall yield. It is worth pointing out that this B-ring O[−] attack on the pro D-ring is essential in order to achieve the desired regiochemical outcome in the cyclization; pro D-ring O[−] attack on the B-ring results in the formation of the regioisomeric xanthone.

Because exposure of the xanthol derived from **2** to solvolytic conditions failed to generate the dienone ether motif of hypoxylxerone, a reduction–oxidation strategy was pursued (Scheme 5). Thus, the B-ring methoxyl in xanthone **2** was selectively demethylated (possible because of carbonyl adjacency) with lithium chloride in DMF,¹⁹ and the carbonyl was reduced with excess borane in dichloromethane. Silylation of the free OH in **22** set the stage for Saegusa–Ito dehydrosilylation²⁰ with palladium(II) acetate, which gave penta(*O*-methyl) hypoxylxerone **23** in 50% overall yield.²¹

This highly convergent first approach to hypoxylxerone and congeners, which features a novel naphthyl-naphthyl anionic homo-Fries reaction, is reasonably short and efficient: 18 linear steps with an overall yield of 5% (85%/step). Further developments will be published in due course.

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor Y. Vallée and Dr. A. Commerçon for their interest in our work, Dr. J.-F. Riou for the biological testing, and Dr. F. Robert for some preliminary experiments. Financial support from the CNRS (UMR 5616) and Aventis and fellowship awards (to E.C. and C.M.) from Aventis are gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and full characterization for the preparation of compound **23** from **5** and **6** and biological test protocol for **23**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OL026454D

(16) Rizzacasa, M. A.; Sargent, M. V. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1987**, *40*, 1737–1743.

(17) Liu, J.; Diwu, Z.; Lown, J. W. *Synthesis* **1995**, 914–916.

(18) Despite considerable effort, we were unable to realize direct, selective monobromination at C-2. Bromination reagents reacted selectively at the more electron-rich C-5 center, and *ortho*-metalation reactions were nonselective.

(19) Nakanishi, T.; Suzuki, M. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *7*, 985–989. The correct solvent is DMF and not DMSO.

(20) Ito, Y.; Hirao, T.; Saegusa, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *49*, 3671–3675.

(21) This fully OH-protected hypoxylxerone was found to be an inhibitor of topoisomerase I in vitro, albeit less active than the natural product. For the test protocol, see Supporting Information.